Dynamical mean-field theory and the NRG as the impurity solver

Rok Žitko Institute Jožef Stefan Ljubljana, Slovenia

DMFT

- Goal: study and explain lattice systems of strongly correlated electron systems.
- Approximation: local self-energy Σ
- Dynamical: on-site quantum fluctuations treated exactly
- Pro: tractable (reduction to an effective quantum impurity problem subject to self-consistency condition)
- Con: non-local correlations treated at the static mean-field level

Infinite-D limit



See, for example, D. Vollhardt in The LDA+DMFT approach to strongly correlated materials, Eva Pavarini, Erik Koch, Dieter Vollhardt, and Alexander Lichtenstein (Eds.), 2011

Infinite-D limit

 $\Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \to \Sigma(\omega)$

Derivation of the DMFT equations by cavity method:

- write the partition function as an integral over Grassman variables
- integrate out all fermions except those at the chosen single site
- split the effective action
- take the D to infinity limit to simplify the expression
- result: self-consistency equation

Georges et al., RMP 1996

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Hubbard} &\Rightarrow \mathsf{SIAM} \\ H_{\mathrm{Hubbard}} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \\ H_{\mathrm{SIAM}} &= \sum_{k\sigma} (\varepsilon_{k} - \mu) f_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} f_{k\sigma} - \mu n + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} \\ &+ \sum_{k\sigma} V_{k} \left(f_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + \mathrm{H.c.} \right) \\ \mathbf{\Gamma}(\omega) &= -\mathrm{Im}[\Delta(\omega + \mathrm{i}\delta)] \qquad \Delta(z) &= \sum_{k} \frac{|V_{k}|^{2}}{z - \varepsilon_{k}} \qquad \text{hybridization plays the role of the Weiss field} \\ & \Delta_{\sigma}(z) &= z + \mu - \left(G_{\mathrm{loc},\sigma}^{-1}(z) + \Sigma_{\sigma}(z) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(z) = rac{\langle \langle [d_{\sigma}, H_{ ext{int}}]; d^{\dagger}_{\sigma}
angle
angle_z}{\langle \langle d_{\sigma}; d^{\dagger}_{\sigma}
angle
angle_z}$$

$$egin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(z) &= \langle \langle c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}; c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}
angle
angle_{z} \ A_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\omega) &= -rac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} G_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\omega + i\delta) \end{aligned}$$

$$G_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(z) = rac{1}{z + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(z)}$$

$$G_{\text{loc},\sigma}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{z + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(z)}$$
$$= \int \frac{\rho_0(\epsilon) d\epsilon}{[z + \mu - \Sigma_{\sigma}(z)] - \epsilon}$$
$$= G_0[z + \mu - \Sigma_{\sigma}(z)].$$

$$ho_0(\epsilon) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$$

$$G_0(z) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty rac{
ho_0(\epsilon) \mathrm{d}\epsilon}{z-\epsilon}$$



Hypercubic lattice

 $-i e^{-z^2} \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{Erfc}[-i z \operatorname{Sign}[\operatorname{Im}[z]]] \operatorname{Sign}[\operatorname{Im}[z]]$



3D cubic lattice

 $x1 = 1/2 + (1/2) (3/(3z)^{2}) - (1/2) \operatorname{Sqrt}[1 - 9/(3z)^{2}] \operatorname{Sqrt}[1 - 1/(3z)^{2}]$ x2 = x1/(x1 - 1) $kp2 = 1/2 + (1/4) x2 \operatorname{Sqrt}[4 - x2] - (1/4) (2 - x2) \operatorname{Sqrt}[1 - x2]$ $km2 = 1/2 - (1/4) x2 \operatorname{Sqrt}[4 - x2] - (1/4) (2 - x2) \operatorname{Sqrt}[1 - x2]$ $(1/z) (\operatorname{Sqrt}[1 - (3/4) x1] / (1 - x1) ((2/Pi) \operatorname{EllipticK}[kp2]) ((2/Pi) \operatorname{EllipticK}[km2]))$









Achieving convergence: self-consistency constraint viewed as a system of equations

DMFT step:
$$\Gamma_{\sigma}^{new} = \Gamma_{\sigma}^{new} \{\Gamma^{old}(\omega)\}$$

 $F(\Gamma_{\sigma}) = \Gamma_{\sigma}^{new} \{\Gamma_{\sigma}\} - \Gamma_{\sigma}$
Self-consistency: $\Gamma_{\sigma}^{new} \{\Gamma_{\sigma}^{old}(\omega)\} = \Gamma_{\sigma}^{old}$
 $F(\Gamma_{\sigma}) = 0$

Linear mixing (parameter α in [0:1]):

$$\Gamma^{\text{input},(m)} = \alpha \Gamma^{\text{new},(m)} + (1 - \alpha) \Gamma^{\text{input},(m-1)}$$

Broyden method

F^(m)=**F**[**V**^(m)]

Newton-Raphson method:

$$\mathbf{V}^{(m+1)} = \mathbf{V}^{(m)} - \left[J^{(m)}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{F}^{(m)}$$

Broyden update:
$$B^{(m)} = - \left[J^{(m)}\right]^{-1}$$
$$B^{(m+1)} = B^{(m)} + \left(\Delta \mathbf{V}^{(m)} - B^{(m)}\Delta \mathbf{F}^{(m)}\right) \otimes \Delta \mathbf{F}^{(m)}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{V}^{(m)} = \frac{\mathbf{V}^{(m+1)} - \mathbf{V}^{(m)}}{\left|\mathbf{F}^{(m+1)} - \mathbf{F}^{(m)}\right|}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{F}^{(m)} = \frac{\mathbf{F}^{(m+1)} - \mathbf{F}^{(m)}}{\left|\mathbf{F}^{(m+1)} - \mathbf{F}^{(m)}\right|}$$



Note: can also be used to control the chemical potential in fixed occupancy calculations.

R. Žitko, PRB **80**, 125125 (2009).





Recent improvements in NRG

- DM-NRG, CFS, FDM algorithms ⇒ more reliable spectral functions, even at finite T
- discretization scheme with reduced artifacts
 ⇒ possibility for improved energy resolution

NRG vs. CT-QMC

- NRG: extremely fast for single-impurity problems
- NRG: access to arbitrarily low temperature scales, but decent results even at high T (despite claims to the contrary!)
- NRG: spectral functions directly on the real-frequency axis, no analytic continuation necessary
- NRG: any local Hamiltonian can be used, no minus sign problem
- NRG: efficient use of symmetries
- CT-QMC: (numerically) exact
- CT-QMC: can handle multi-orbital problems (even 7-orbital flevel electrons)

Mott-Hubbard phase transition



Kotliar, Vollhardt



Bulla, 1999, Bulla et al. 2001.

k-resolved spectral functions





Caveat: obviously, DMFT is not a good approximation for 2D problems. But 2D cubic lattice is nice for plotting...





Ordered phases

- Ferromagnetism: break spin symmetry (i.e., add spin index σ, use QSZ symmetry type)
- **Superconductivity**: introduce Nambu structure, compute both standard G and anomalous G (use SPSU2 symmetry type)
- Antiferromagnetism: introduce AB sublattice structure, do double impurity calculation (one for A type, one for B type)

Hubbard model: phase diagram



Zitzler et al.

High-resolution spectral functions?



Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice, PM phase inner band-edge features

See also DMRG study, Karski, Raas, Uhrig, PRB **72**, 113110 (2005).



Z. Osolin, RZ, 2013



Hubbard model on the Bethe lattice, AFM phase spin-polaron structure ("string-states")



Thermodynamics

$$E_{\rm kin} = \sum_{k} \epsilon_k \langle n_k \rangle \qquad E_{\rm pot} = U \sum_{i} \langle n_i \rangle$$
$$\langle n_k \rangle = G(k, \tau = 0^-) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} G(k\sigma, i\omega_n) \exp(i\omega_n 0^+) = \operatorname{Tr}[G(k)]$$

Note: $G(k) = G(\epsilon_k)$

$$E_{\rm kin} = \int \mathrm{d}\epsilon \,\rho(\epsilon) \,\mathrm{Tr}[G(\epsilon)]$$

Bethe lattice:

$$E_{\rm kin} = \frac{D^2}{4} T \sum_n [G(i\omega_n)]^2$$

$$S(T_2) - S(T_1) = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} dS = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{dE}{T} = \frac{E(T_2)}{T_2} - \frac{E(T_1)}{T_1} + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{E(T)}{T^2} dT$$

Transport in DMFT

Vertex corrections drop out, because Σ is local, and \boldsymbol{v}_k and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_k$ have different parity.

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar} \int d\omega' F_{\omega,\omega'} \int d\epsilon \, \Phi(\epsilon) A_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega') A_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega' + \omega)$$

$$F_{\omega,\omega'} = [f(\omega') - f(\omega + \omega')]/\omega$$

$$A_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\omega + \mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \Sigma(\omega)]^{-1}$$

$$\Phi(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}_x}\right)^2 \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$$







Other applications

- Hubbard (SC, CO)
- two-orbital Hubbard model
- Hubbard-Holstein model (near half-filling)
- Kondo lattice model (PM, FM, AFM, SC phases)
- Periodic Anderson model (PAM), correlated PAM